UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,491	01/27/2001	Raymond Anthony Joao	RJ180	7937
RAYMOND A.	7590 03/31/200 JOAO, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
122 BELLEVU	E PLACE	VAN BRAMER, JOHN W		
YONKERS, NY 10703			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/771,491	JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Van Bramer	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2008 and 11 January 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 101-122 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 101-122 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 123107.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 31, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments filed on December 31, 2007 and January 11, 2008, cancelled claims 103 and 120. New claims 121 and 122 were added and claims 101, 102, 104, 109, 110, 112, 113, 118 and 119 were amended. Thus, the currently pending claims in the applications are Claims 101, 102, 104-119, 121 and 122.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3622

4. Claims 101, 102, 104-115, and 118, 119, 121, and 122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capek (U.S. Patent Number: 6,026,369) in view of McCandless ("Web advertising" Intelligent Systems and Their Applications, IEEE, Volume: 13, Issue: 3, May/Jun 1998, page(s): 8-9) in further view of Merriman et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 5,948,061).

Claim 101, 118, 119, 121, and 122: Capek discloses a computer-implemented method, comprising:

- a. At least one of receiving and storing information regarding a first request by a content provider to be notified regarding an occurrence of an event, wherein the event is at least one of a request by a merchant for advertising space, a storing of an offered price for an advertising space, and a storing of a term or condition for an advertising offering. (Col 3, lines 1-7) (Capek discloses content providers transmitting summary information to the control distribution node which is a request, by the content provider, for notification of merchant wishing to place targeted advertisements that satisfy the criteria set forth in the summary information.)
- At least one of receiving and storing information regarding at least one of a request by a merchant for advertising space, an offered price for an advertising space, and a term or condition for an advertising offering. (Col 3, lines 9-12)
 (Capek discloses an advertiser (merchant) requesting advertising space)

Application/Control Number: 09/771,491

Art Unit: 3622

c. Detecting the occurrence of the event with a processing device. (Col 3, lines 9-17) (Capek discloses that the arrival of the request by a merchant is detected and process 12 is initiated. Process 12 is used to match the advertisement requests with content providers)

Page 4

- d. Generating a message containing information regarding the event with the processing device, wherein the first message is automatically generated by the processing device in response to the occurrence of the event. (Col 3, lines 25-29) (Capek discloses that a placement query is generated and sent to the access providers that satisfy the criteria set forth in the placement request)
- e. Transmitting the message to a computer or a communication device associated with the content provider. (Col 3, lines 25-29) (Capek discloses that a placement query is generated and sent to the access providers that satisfy the criteria set forth in the placement request)
- f. Receiving a second request, wherein the second request is transmitted from the computer or the communication device associated with the content provider, wherein the second request is a request for information regarding an offer by a merchant associated with the event to create or establish a marketing relationship. (Col 3, lines 31-44) (Capek discloses the content providers sending a request indicating the number of placements that they are able to satisfy; this is request for information regarding an offer since the content provider is not guaranteed that it will be allocated the number of placements it is requesting.)

Application/Control Number: 09/771,491

Art Unit: 3622

g. Generating a second message, wherein the second message contains at least one of information regarding the offer by the merchant to create or establish a marketing relationship, information regarding the merchant and contact information regarding the merchant. (Col 3, lines 49-51) (Capek discloses generating and sending information regarding the offer by the merchant to the content providers. The information is the number of placements that the content provider has been chosen to provide.)

Page 5

- h. Transmitting the second message to the computer or the communication device associated with the content provider. (Col 3, lines 49-51) (Capek discloses generating and sending information regarding the offer by the merchant to the content providers. The information is the number of placements that the content provider has been chosen to provide.)
- i. Receiving information indicating that the content provider has accepted the offer by the merchant to create or establish a marketing relationship. (Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 4) (Capek discloses that the content provider sends information regarding the number of placements that they have delivered to their clients. The fact that the content provider delivered the placements to their clients inherently indicates that information sent by the content provider that they have accepted the offer.)
- j. Processing the information indicating that the content provider has accepted the offer by the merchant to create or establish a marketing relationship between the merchant and the content provider. (Col 4, lines 4-7) (Capek discloses that the

information sent by the content provider, which indicates acceptance of the offer, is processed into a summarization by the CDN)

k. Storing information regarding the marketing relationship between the merchant and the content provider in a database or a memory device. (Col 4, lines 4-7)
(Capek discloses that the information sent by the content provider, which indicates acceptance of the offer, is processed into a summarization (stored) by the CDN)

While Capek is silent regarding how the Content Distribution Network (CDN) utilizes the summarized count information that indicates the number of placements served by each content provider, the analogous art of McCandless discloses that various metrics are used to measure web advertising. One such common method is based upon unique impressions which occur when an ad is served to one browser within a fixed time window. The pricing of such ads are typically priced by the number of impressions (Page 2, Col 1, lines 15-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the CDN to contact the advertiser and report the placement information in order to receive payment for said placements. The rational for doing so is that there are a limited number of predictable ways in which the CDN could be reimbursed for providing to the requested number of placement. One such predictable method of billing for the placement would be to contact the advertiser and provide them with a bill containing a summary of advertisement placements that were provided.

Art Unit: 3622

While Capek does not specifically state that the marketing relationship is an affiliated marketing program some sort of affiliation between the access points and the advertising server must exist in order for the advertising server to work in conjunction with the access points to server the advertisement to the customer via the access point. However, for the sake of clarity, the analogous art of Merriman discloses the serving of advertisements from an advertisement server to affiliate sites. The affiliate sites are customer access points where advertisements are provided from an advertisement server. This relationship is analogous to the method of serving of advertisements disclosed by Capek. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the invention disclosed by Capek in an affiliate marketing relationship. The rational for using Capek to server advertisements in affiliate marketing relationships is that there are a limited number of predictable types of marketing relationships that allow for the serving of advertisements to customers accessing a site. Affiliated marketing relationships are one well known type of said limited number of predictable types of marketing relationships.

Claim 102: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer-implemented method of Claim 101, wherein the computer implemented method is performed via, on, or over at least one of the Internet and the World Wide Web. (Capek: Col 1, lines 5-20)

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 104: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer-implemented method of Claim 101, further comprising: transmitting information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant to a computer associated with the content provider via, on, or over, at least one of the Internet and the World Wide Web. (Capek: Col 1, lines 5-20)

Claim 105: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104. While Capek does not specifically disclose that the information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant includes a banner advertisement the analogous art of McCandless discloses that the most common type of web advertisements is the banner ad (Page 1, Col 3, line 38 through Page 2, Col 1, line 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use banner advertisements in the invention disclosed by Capek. The rational for including banner advertisements is that they are the most common type of web advertisements.

Claim 106: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, while Capek and McCandless do not specifically state that the advertisement contains printed matter that include a logo or an icon, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a corporate icon or logo on the advertisement. The rational for

including such a logo or icon is that the majority of advertisements include such predictable printed matter. Such printed matter is typically included in order to provide customers with a method of identifying the company that produces the product or service that is advertised.

Page 9

Claim 107: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, wherein the information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant includes a link to a computer or a web site associated with the merchant. (Capek: Col 4, lines 15-39)

Claim 108: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, further comprising: placing information regarding the advertisement associated with the merchant on a computer or a web site associated with the content provider. (Capek: Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 1; and Col 4, lines 15-39)

Claims 109, 110, 112, and 113: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek does not specifically recite the determining of a commission or a referral fee due to the content provider pursuant to the marketing relationship, the analogous are of McCandless discloses that charging advertisers based upon based upon the number of unique impressions served (Page 2, Col 1, lines 15-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3622

ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to utilize the placement summary disclosed by Capek to charge the advertiser based upon the impressions identified in the summary and to keep the financial records of such transactions. The rational for doing so is that it is common to use a cost per impression based pricing methodology when charging an advertiser for advertisement placement.

Claim 111: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 101, further comprising: processing information regarding a web site or a link visited, utilized, or navigated, by an individual or a user in connecting to a computer or a web site associated with the merchant. (Capek: Col 4, lines 15-39)

Claim 114: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101, further comprising: at least one of storing and providing information regarding a past success rate of an advertisement and a success rate of the content provider. (Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 8)

Claim 115: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek and McCandless are silent regarding the method used for contacting the merchant to provide information regarding the number of placements server, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to contact the merchant

Art Unit: 3622

via a common communication means such as the telephone, postal mail, or electronic mail. The rational for doing so is that there are a limited number of predictable ways in which the information could be conveyed to the merchant and email is one such predictable method.

Claims 116 and 117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capek (U.S. Patent Number: 6,026,369) in view of McCandless ("Web advertising" Intelligent Systems and Their Applications, IEEE, Volume: 13, Issue: 3, May/Jun 1998, page(s): 8-9) in view of Merriman et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 5,948,061) in further view of Goldhaber (U.S. Patent Number: 5,794,210).

Claims 116 and 117: Capek, McCandless, and Merriman disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek and McCandless are silent regarding the administering of a financial account for the merchant or for the content provider, the analogous art of Goldhaber discloses an advertisement server that places advertisements and administers financial accounts for the merchant (Col 20, lines 8-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the Content Distribution Network of Capek to administer a financial account for the merchant or the content provider. The rational for doing so is to bring advertising buyers and sellers together more quickly, less expensively, from larger populations, and with greater accuracy than other existing sales mechanisms (Goldhaber: Col 20, lines 36-40).

Art Unit: 3622

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 101, 102, 104, 109, 110, 112, 113, 118, and 119 and newly submitted claims 121 and 122 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Van Bramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-8198. The examiner can normally be reached on 6am - 4pm Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JV /J. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 3622

/Eric W. Stamber/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
09/771,491	JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Van Bramer	3622		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080324